Priya K. et al. / Asian Journal of Research in Biological and Pharmaceutical Sciences. 12(2), 2024, 19-40.

**Research Article** 



## Asian Journal of Research in Biological and Pharmaceutical Sciences

Journal home page: www.ajrbps.com

https://doi.org/10.36673/AJRBPS.2024.v12.i02.A03



## IMPROVING THE BIOAVAILABILITY OF ATORVASTATIN CALCIUM: FORMULATING TABLETS FROM SOLID DISPERSIONS WITH PVP K30 AND HPMC K4M

K. Priya\*<sup>1</sup> and J. Bagyalakshmi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1\*</sup>Department of Pharmaceutics, Sri Ramakrishna Institute of Paramedical Sciences, College of Pharmacy, Coimbatore -641044, Tamil Nadu, India.

#### ABSTRACT

This study aims to enhance the solubility and dissolution rate of atorvastatin calcium, a poorly water-soluble drug, by formulating solid dispersions. The objectives include Investigating the impact of different carriers (e.g., polymers, surfactants) on atorvastatin calcium solubility characterizing the physicochemical properties of the prepared solid dispersions (e.g., drug-carrier interactions, crystallinity) Developing and evaluating tablets containing the optimized solid dispersion; and comparing the in vitro drug release profile of the developed tablets with a marketed atorvastatin calcium formulation. The successful development of these solid dispersions could potentially improve the bioavailability and therapeutic efficacy of atorvastatin calcium.

#### **KEYWORDS**

Drug delivery, Atorvastatin calcium, Solid dispersion and Solubility.

#### Author for Correspondence:

Priya K,

Department of Pharmaceutics,

Sri Ramakrishna Institute of Paramedical Sciences,

College of Pharmacy,

Coimbatore -641044, Tamil Nadu, India.

Email: priyakarmegam1999@gmail.com

Available online: www.uptodateresearchpublication.com

#### INTRODUCTION

Hyperlipidaemia is a family of disorders characterised by abnormally high levels of lipid (fats) in the blood.

Hyperlipidemia is traditionally defined as conditions in which the concentration of *cholesterol* or *triglyceride-carrying lipoproteins* in plasma exceeds an arbitrary normal limit. These lipoprotein deposits in the interstitial space of arteries arising from the aorta restrict blood supply to the heart. This phenomenon is known as atherosclerosis. Higher deposition of lipoproteins completely blocks the blood supply to the heart, and thus myocardial infarction (MI) occurs, which is commonly known as a heart attack.

#### CLASSIFICATION OF HYPERLIPIDEMIA BASED ON THE LIPID TYPE

*Hypercholesterolemia* - The level of cholesterol is elevated.

*Hypertriglyceridemia* - Elevated level of triglycerides.

#### BASED ON THE CAUSING FACTOR Familial (primary) hyperlipidemi

Based on the causing factors hyperlipidemia can be designated as either primary or secondary. According to Fredrickson familial hyperlipidemia is classified into five types based on electrophoresis or ultracentrifugation pattern of lipoprotein.

Type I- Raised cholesterol with high triglyceride levels

Type II- High cholesterol with normal triglyceride level

Type III- Raised cholesterol and triglycerides

Type IV- Raised triglycerides, atheroma and uric acid

Type V- Raised triglycerides

#### Acquired (secondary) hyperlipidemia

Acquired hyperlipidemia (secondary dyslipoproteinemia) results from an underlying disorder and leads to plasma lipid and lipoprotein metabolism alterations. This type of hyperlipidemia may mimic primary forms of hyperlipidemia and can cause similar consequences. They may result in an increased risk of premature atherosclerosis, pancreatitis and other complications of the chylomicronemia syndrome.

The most common causes of acquired hyperlipidemia are given below.

Diabetes Mellitus

Use of drugs such as diuretics,  $\beta$ -blocker and oestrogens

Alcohol consumption

Some rare endocrine disorders and metabolic disorders

Hypothyroidism

Renal failure

Nephritic syndrome

Major primary and secondary forms of hyperlipidemia their lipoprotein abnormalities and the drugs used for their treatment are listed in the below tables Hypertension, high lipids and smoking are major CHD risks. LDL-C increases CHD risk, while HDL-C lowers it. Estrogens reduce serum lipids in females.

### CAUSE OF HYPERLIPIDEMIA

A diet rich in saturated fat and cholesterol increases blood cholesterol and triglyceride levels.

Other disorders such as obesity, diabetes mellitus and hypothyroidism increase the risk of hyperlipidemia

Smoking and a sedentary lifestyle

Excessive use of alcohol

Certain drugs as steroids and  $\beta$ - blocker

Hereditary factor

## SYMPTOMS OF HYPERLIPIDEMIA

Hyperlipidemia usually has no noticeable symptoms and tends to be discovered during routine examinations for atherosclerosis or cardiovascular disease.

Symptoms may include chest pain (angina), heart attack or stroke

When levels are exceedingly high, cholesterol may be deposited in tendons or just beneath the skin under the eye

Swelling of organs such as the liver, spleen or pancreas

Blockage of blood vessels in the brain and heart Higher rate of obesity and glucose intolerance Pimple-like lesions across the body

#### PATHOGENESIS OF HYPERLIPIDEMIA

Lipoproteins transport cholesterol, triglycerides and phospholipids. Elevated TG, LDL-C, and low HDL-C increase CHD risk. Early hyperlipidemia causes monocytes and platelets to attach to damaged vessel walls, triggering plaque formation. Plaque rupture can lead to unstable angina, heart attack, and sudden death.

#### DIAGNOSIS OF HYPERLIPIDEMIA

Hyperlipidemia has no symptoms and is detected through a lipid profile blood test. The NCEP recommends screening starting at age 20, with repeats every five years if normal.

Available online: www.uptodateresearchpublication.com

#### PREVENTION OF HYPERLIPIDEMIA

A low-fat, low-cholesterol diet, high in soluble fibers, regular exercise, and healthy weight maintenance help manage hyperlipidemia. If lifestyle changes fail, cholesterol-lowering drugs may be needed.

#### TREATMENT OF HYPERLIPIDEMIA

In 1987, the NIH established the NCEP, led by the ATP, to guide hyperlipidemia testing, evaluation, and treatment. The ATP guidelines set treatment goals based on a patient's CHD risk.

ATP recommends two methods of treatment

#### Therapeutic lifestyle changes

Initial treatment for mild hyperlipidemia includes diet modification, exercise, smoking cessation, and weight loss, especially for those with fewer risk factors. Diet should limit total fat to 25%-35% of energy, with less than 7% from saturated fats and cholesterol intake under 200mg daily. Plant sterols and soluble fiber can help, potentially reducing cholesterol by 10%-15%.

#### **Drug therapy**

High LDL, risk factors, and CHD warrant drug therapy alongside lifestyle changes. Treatment may include statins, ezetimibe, bile acid sequestrants, niacin, fibric acid derivatives and plant sterols. In rare cases, blood plasma removal may be needed. Lifelong management with lifestyle changes and medication is often required.

#### SOLID DISPERSION

Poor solubility and membrane permeability of many drugs lead to low oral bioavailability. Research focuses on enhancing solubility, dissolution rate, and permeability to improve drug absorption. Solid dispersion (SD) is a key method to improve solubility by dispersing the drug in an inert carrier, like polyethylene glycol (PEG) or polyvinyl pyrrolidone (PVP). This approach has been effective in increasing dissolution and bioavailability, making it a popular, economical solution since the 1960s.

#### Advantage of solid dispersion

Preparation of solid dispersions results in reduced particle size improved surface area and increased dissolution rate. The ultimate result is improved bioavailability.

Available online: www.uptodateresearchpublication.com

Wettability is improved during SD production. Improved wettability results in increased solubility. Here the carriers play a major role in improving the wettability of the particle.

Particles in SDs have a higher degree of porosity. The increased porosity of SD particles accelerates the drug release profile. Increased porosity also depends on carrier properties.

In SD drugs are presented as supersaturated solutions which are considered to be metastable polymorphic forms. Thus, presenting drugs in amorphous form increases the solubility of the particles.

Rapid dissolution rates that increase the rate and extent of the absorption of the drug and a reduction in systemic metabolism both can lead to the need for lower doses of the drug.

#### **Disadvantages of solid dispersion**

The major disadvantages of SDs are related to their instability.

Several systems have shown changes in crystallinity and a decrease in dissolution rate with ageing. By absorbing moisture, phase separation, crystal growth or a change from metastable crystalline form to stable form can take place which leads to the reduction of drug solubility.

Moisture and temperature have more of a deteriorating effect on SDs than on physical mixtures. Sometimes it is difficult to handle because of tacking

During formulation sometimes it may form a hard lump which is very difficult to break on a large scale.

#### LIMITATIONS OF SOLID DISPERSIONS

The physical and chemical stability of drugs and vehicles

Method of preparation, reproducibility of its physiochemical properties

Formulation of solid dispersion into dosage form Scale-up of manufacturing processes

#### CLASSIFICATION OF SOLID DISPERSION BASED ON CARRIED USED First generation

First-generation solid dispersion was prepared using crystalline carriers such as urea and sugar, which were the first carriers to be employed in solid

dispersion. They have the disadvantage of forming crystalline solid dispersion, which is thermodynamically more stable and does not release the drug as quickly as amorphous ones.

#### Second generation

Second-generation solid dispersions include amorphous carriers instead of crystalline carriers which are usually polymer. These include synthetic polymers such as povidone (PVP), polyethene glycol (PEG) and polymethacrylates as well as natural product-based polymers such as hydroxyl propyl methyl cellulose (HPMC), ethyl cellulose, hydroxyl propyl cellulose or starch derivates like cyclodextrins.

#### Third generation

Recently, it has been shown that the dissolution profile can be improved if the carrier has surface activity or self-emulsifying properties. Therefore, third-generation solid dispersion appeared. The use of surfactants such as inulin, minutes SP1, capitol 888 ATO, and poloxamer 407 as carriers was shown to be effective in originating high polymorphic purity and enhanced *in-vivo* bioavailability.

#### **BASED ON THE SOLID-STATE STRUCTURE Exhibiting immiscibility in Fluid State**

If a drug and polymer are immiscible in their fluid state, it is expected that they would not exhibit miscibility on the solidification of the fluid mixture. Such systems may be regarded as similar to their corresponding physical mixture and any enhancement in dissolution performance may be owing to modification in morphology of drug and /or polymer due to physical transformation (i.e., solid to liquid state and back), intimate drugpolymer mixing, and/or enhanced surface area.

## Drug and polymer exhibiting miscibility in a fluid state

If the drug and polymer are miscible in their fluid state, then the mixture may or may not undergo phase separation during solidification, thereby influencing the structure of solid dispersion

#### Immiscible in a fluid state

#### **Eutectic mixture**

The eutectic mixture was first described as a solid dispersion in 1961 by Sekiguchi & Obi. Eutectic mixtures are formed when the drug and polymer are

Available online: www.uptodateresearchpublication.com

miscible in their molten state, but on cooling, they crystallize as two distinct components with negligible miscibility. When a drug (A) and a carrier (B) are co-melted at their eutectic composition defined by point 'e', the melting point of the mixture is lower than the melting point of either drug or carrier alone. At the eutectic composition (e), both drug and carrier exist in a finely divided state, which results in a higher surface area and enhanced dissolution rate of the drug. This was first reported for sulfathiazole-urea. Other examples are griseofulvin and tolbutamide in polyethene glycol (PEG-2000).

## Crystalline solid dispersion (Crystalline suspension)

A crystalline solid dispersion (or suspension) is formed when the rate at which a drug crystallizes from the drug-polymer miscible mixture is greater than the rate at which drug-polymer fluid mixture solidifies

#### Amorphous solid dispersion (Glassy suspension)

If the drug-polymer fluid mixture is cooled at a rate that does not allow for drug crystallization, then the drug is kinetically trapped in its amorphous or "solidified-liquid" state. These types of dispersion have the risk of potential for conversion to a more stable and less soluble crystalline form.

#### Miscible in solid solution

In this system, a homogenous one-phase system is formed when the two components crystallize together. The particle size of the drug is reduced to its molecular size in the solid solution. Thus, a faster dissolution rate is achieved in a solid solution than the corresponding eutectic mixture. Solid solutions can be classified as continuous or discontinuous according to the extent of miscibility of two components.

#### **Continuous solid solution**

The components are miscible in all proportions in a continuous solid solution. Hypothetically, this means that the bonding strength between the two components than the bonding strength between the molecules of each of the individual components.

#### **Discontinuous solid solution**

The solubility of each of the components in the other components is limited in the case of discontinuous solid solution. One of the solid components is completely dissolved in the other

solid components in these regions. The mutual solubility of two components starts to decrease below a certain temperature. Goldberg reported that the term solid solution should only be applied when the mutual solubility of the two components exceeds 5%.

#### PREPARATION OF SOLID DISPERSION Physical mixing method

The known quantity of drug and polymer were weighed separately and passed through sieve No.80. The drug was collected and transferred into a clean and dry glass mortar. The drug and polymer were triturated for 5 minutes and again screened through sieve No. 80. The sieved mixture was collected and packed in a wide-mouthed amber-coloured glass container and was hermetically sealed.

#### **Fusion method**

A specified quantity of polymer was taken in a china dish and it was heated at 50°C on a mantle until molten solution was formed. To the molten solution specified quantity of drug was added and triturated vigorously at room temperature. Grind the mass if necessary and it was screened through sieve No. 100. Then the mixture was collected, packed hermetically sealed and stored at an ambient temperature.

#### Solvent evaporation method

The drug was taken in a china dish and was dissolved in a few ml of solvent. To the solution, a specific amount of polymer was added and the mixture was heated at 50°C on a mantle with continuous stirring until the solvent was evaporated. Then the mixture was collected and packed in amber-coloured glass containers and was hermetically sealed. Then the mixture was stored at ambient conditions.

#### Lyophilisation

Specific quantities of drug and polymer were weighed and added with a minimum amount of water. This dispersion was rapidly solidified by freeing in a lyophilizer. The solvent in the dispersion was sublimed under a pressure of 10M torr and condensed on a -40°C condenser. After the solvent was completely removed, the powder residue appeared as a porous, light and fluffy mass. The lyophilized preparations were stored in a desiccator at room temperature.

Available online: www.uptodateresearchpublication.com

#### **METHODOLOGY Preformulation study**

Preformulation studies involve physical, chemical and biological characterization of new drug substance in order to develop stable, safe and effective dosage form. Preformulation testing encompasses all studies enacted on drug compounds in order to produce useful information for subsequent formulation of a stable and biopharmaceutically suitable drug dosage form.

#### Melting point

Melting point of pure drug was determined by capillary tube method using melting point apparatus. About 10mg of pure drug was filled in one end sealed capillary tubes by tapping method and the temperature at which change in physical state occurred was noticed and determined as the melting point of pure drug

## **Determination of** $\lambda$ **max**

#### **Preparation of stock A**

10mg of atorvastatin calcium was accurately weighed and dissolved in 1ml of methanol to obtain a drug concentration of 1000mcg/ml

#### Preparation of stock B

1ml of stock A solution was diluted to 10ml with methanol to obtain a drug concentration of 100mcg/ml

#### **Determination of analytical wavelength**

1ml of stock B was diluted to 10ml with methanol then the solution was scanned using double beam UV spectrophotometric in the spectrum mode between the wavelength ranges from 200-400nm.

#### CALIBRATION CURVE OF ATORVASTATIN CALCIUM

Primary stock solution atorvastatin calcium was prepared by dissolving 10mg of ATC in 10ml of methanol in standard flask. Aliquots of ATC was prepared from stock solution in the concentration range of 2-10ug/ml in 10ml standard flask using methanol as solvent. The absorbance of ATC standard solution was measured at 246nm (max of ATC) against methanol as blank. The standard graph was prepared with concentration of solution (in ug/ml) on X-axis and absorbance on Y-axis.

## Solubility study

Pure drug atorvastatin calcium was added to 2ml of various medium like water, pH 7.4 and methanol until solution get saturated. The samples were

centrifuged and filtered through 0.45um membrane filter to separate undissolved drugs. After suitable dilution, the absorbance was measured at 246nm.

#### FT-IR DRUG POOLYMER COMPATIBILITY STUDY

Drug excipients compatibility was determined by KBr pellet method using Fourier Transform infrared Spectrophotometer A base line correction was made using dried KBr and then spectra of dried mixtures of drug with and without excipients was recorded. The samples were prepared as KBr pellets by compressing at 6 ton/nm2. The wavelength ranges were selected between 400 4000cm-1. (Xingwang Zhang *et al*, 2018).

#### FORMULATION DEVELOPMENT

## Formulation of Atorvastatin calcium solid dispersion

Solid dispersions of atorvastatin calcium were prepared using PVP K30 and HPMC ES in different drug to polymer ratios by solvent evaporation method. Drug: polymer combinations [ratio 1:1:1, 1:2:1,1:3:1,1:4:1, 1:2:2, 1:1.5:1.5] were dissolved in methanol and stirred for 15 minutes at room temperature After complete dissolution, the solvent was removed by using electrical water bath a 70°C. The residues were then pulverized by mortar and pestle, passed through a sieve [mesh size 60] and then stored in desiccators at ambient temperature.

#### **Optimization of polymer concentration**

Effect of polymer concentration on solubility of atorvastatin calcium was studied by optimizing various concentration of PVP K 30, HPMC ES. (Shamsuddin FM *et al*, 2016).

## CHARACTERISATION OF PREPARED ATORVASTATIN CALCIUM SOLID DISPERSION

#### Solubility

Prepared solid dispersions were added to 2ml of water, until solution get saturated. The samples were centrifuged and filtered through  $0.45\mu m$  membrane to separate undissolved drugs. After suitable dilution, the absorbance was measured at 246nm. Based on the solubility studies, optimized batch of solid dispersion was chosen, and it was subjected for further evaluation.

#### Available online: www.uptodateresearchpublication.com

#### **Drug Content**

The optimized batch F4 was evaluated for its drug content. 60mg (equivalent to 10mg of ATC) of solid dispersion was dissolved in 100ml of methanol. From this 1ml was taken and made up to 10ml. Further it was diluted to 10ml and the samples were centrifuged. It was filtered through 0.45um membrane and the absorbance was measured at 246nm.

### FORMULATION OF ATORVASTATIN CALCIUM TABLET USING OPTIMIZED SOLID DISPERSION

#### **Preparation of granules**

The optimized solid dispersion (F4) containing ATC was formulated into tablet by wet granulation method. Accurately weighed solid dispersion containing Atorvastatin calcium was taken. To that specified quantity of diluent and binder solution were added and mixed thoroughly to get wet granules. Granules were then passed through sieve (#10 mesh sieve) and allowed to dry at 60°C in a hot air oven. Dried granules were passed through #20 mesh and magnesium stearate and talc were added. (Wenxiang D *et al*, 2018).

#### Formulation of Atorvastatin calcium tablets

Dried granules containing atorvastatin calcium was formulated into using RIMEK tables mini press tablet punching machine. It was compressed using 6mm inch. Tablet weight was optimized as 150mg to match with the marketed product. Each tablet contains mg 61.22mg of atorvastatin calcium solid dispersion equivalent to atorvastatin calcium 10mg.

#### EVALUATION OF ATORVASTATIN CALCIUM TABLET Weight variation test

Weight variation of atorvastatin calcium tablet was performed using 20 tablets. The tablets were weighed individually using electronic balance. The average weight was calculated and with individual tablets weight was then compared average value and the deviation value was calculated.

Average weight was calculated using following equation.

$$Average \ weight = \frac{\text{Total weight of all the tablets}}{Number \ of \ tablets}$$

 $\% deviation = \frac{Actual \ tablet \ weight - average \ weight}{Average \ weight} * 100$ 

#### Friability test

Tablet friability was determined by Remi friability tester. Randomly selected 6 tablets were weighed and placed in the rotating drum which was already programmed with 25rpm for 4 minutes (100 revolution). After the rotation, the tablets were removed, dusts were wiped and weighed again to calculate percentage friability.

Percentage friability =  $\frac{\text{Initial weight} - \text{Final weight}}{\text{Initial weight}} * 100$ 

#### Hardness test

The Pfizer hardness tester was used for measuring the hardness of formulated atorvastatin calcium tablets, six tablets were taken randomly and subjected to test. The hardness was found to be 4-6kg/cm'.

#### **Disintegration time test**

Disintegration test was performed by using Remi Disintegration apparatus. Randomly selected 6 tablets were placed in disintegration apparatus filled with phosphate buffer pH 6.8. The time taken for complete disintegration of tablet was noted.

#### In vitro drug release study

In vitro drug release studies of marketed and formulated tablet were carried out in Labtronics dissolution test apparatus using specified volume of 900ml dissolution medium maintained at  $37^{\circ}C \pm$  $0.5^{\circ}C$ . The tablets were directly placed in the medium and the apparatus was operated at 75rpm. The sample (5ml) was withdrawn at intervals of 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60 minutes, replaced with fresh dissolution medium in order to maintain sink condition. After that, samples were analysed using UV spectrophotometer at 246nm respectively. The percentage drug release was calculated which was compared with marketed formulation (Lipvas). (Wenxiang D *et al*, 2018)

#### **Dissolution system**

Medium – Phosphate buffer pH7.4 Apparatus -Labtronics

Available online: www.uptodateresearchpublication.com

rpm/Temperature – 75rpm/  $\pm$  0.5% Sampling time -10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60 minutes

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Pre-formulation study Melting point study**

The melting point of ATC were determined by capillary tube method and it was found to be 167°C which confirms the purity of drug.

#### Determination of $\lambda$ max

The highest concentration (10ug/ml) was chosen for determination of  $\lambda$  max which shows maximum absorption at 246nm. From the UV Visible spectrum, the max of ATC was found to be 246nm and used for further studies.

# CALIBRATION CURVE OF ATORVASTATIN CALCIUM

Standard graph was constructed for various concentration 2-10ug/ml. The absorbance was determined corresponding to their concentration. Correlation coefficient of ATC was found to be r=0.9998 which shows graph was linear.

#### SOLUBILITY STUDY

Solubility of Atorvastatin calcium in different solvent such as water, Phosphate buffer pH 6.8 and 0.1N HCI. The results show that the atorvastatin calcium was poorly soluble in phosphate buffer.

#### FT-IR Study

Drug-excipients compatibility was checked by comparing the IR spectra of pure drug, excipients and physical mixture of drug and excipients. FT-IR of drug excipients mixture retained the characteristic functional peaks of drug and it ensured that there was no interaction between the drug and excipients.

#### CHARACTERISATION OF ATORVASTATIN CALCIUM SOLID DISPERSION

#### Solubility study of prepared solid dispersions

Solubility study was performed for all the solid dispersion formulation in water. From this, the formulation F4 containing DRUG: PVP K30: HPMC ES ratio of 1:4:1 was found to be favourable one because of its high solubility when compared with pure drug. So, that it was subjected to further evaluations.

#### **Drug Content**

The % drug content was found by of the formulation F4 was centrifugation method. The drug Content found to be 98% of ATC. From this it dose of helps to fix the drug which is equivalent to the dose of marketed conventional Atorvastatin formulation. The calcium tablet was formulated by wet technique and its post granulation compression parameters were also evaluated.

#### EVALUATION OF ATORVASTATIN CALCIUM TABLET WEIGHT VARIATION TEST

The percentage weight variation for the tablet was performed. All the tablet found to have weight variation as per the Indian pharmacopeia limits  $(\pm 7.5\%)$ .

#### Friability test

Tablet friability was determined by Remi friability tester. The percentage of friability was found to be 0.56% which is considered as acceptable limit (IP limit) (<1%). This shows formulated tablets can withstand the physical abrasion and mechanical stress.

#### Hardness test

The hardness of the formulated tablets was determined by Pfizer hardness tester and found in the range of 4.9 to 5.3 which complies IP limits (4-6 Kg/cm) from this prepared tablet ability to withstand the shock of handling, packaging and shipping.

#### **Disintegration test**

Disintegration test was performed by using Remi disintegration apparatus and disintegration time was found in the range of 7-12 minutes which complies IP limit. This shows prepared get disintegrated into fine particle which could enhance the solubility of the drug.

#### **Dissolution study**

In vitro drug apparatus for prepared release study was carried out by using Labtronics dissolution solid dispersion (F4), its tablet formulation and marketed product (Lipvas\*). Among the samples tested, only 90.1% of ATC of marketed product released at 40 minutes. Whereas, when formulation F4 was evaluated, the percentage drug release of ATC was 97.3%. Improvement in dissolution rates of the prepared tablet by hydrophilic and solubilizing effect of PVP K30 in the dissolution medium. This hydrophilic polymer can provide greater dissolution rates by reducing the interfacial tension between the drug particles and the release medium. Due to the particle size reduction and a decrease in the drug crystallinity during the SD preparation process are probable mechanisms that are involved in the enhancement of dissolution rate.

	Table No.1. Freurickson classification for hyperinpidenna				
Hyperloop Proteinema	Synonyms	Defect	Increased Lipoprotein	Symptoms	Treatment
Type I (rare)	Familial hyper Chylomic Ronemia Familial Apoprotein CII deficiency	Decreased Lipoprotein Lipase (LPL) Altered ApoC2 LPL inhibitor in blood	Chilli Microns	Acute pancreatitis, Lipemiaretinalis, Xanthomas, hepatic Splenomegaly	Diet control
Type II	Familial hypercholesterolemia	LDL receptor deficiency	LDL receptor deficiency	Xanthelasma, Arcussenilis, tendon xanthomas	Bile acid sequestrants, statins, niacin
	Familial	Decreased LDL	LDL and		Statins,

 Table No.1: Fredrickson classification for hyperlipidemia

Priya K. et al. / Asian Journal of Research in Biological and Pharmaceutical Sciences. 1	12(2), 2024, 19-40.
--	---------------------

	combined hyperlipidemia	receptor and increased ApoB	VLDL		niacin, Fibrates
Type III (rare)	Familial Dysbetalipo proteinemia	Defeat in Apo E2 synthesis	IDL	Tuboruptive xanthomas and palmar xanthomas	Fibrate, statins
Type IV	Familial hyper Triglyceridemia	Increased VLDL production and decreased elimination	VLDL	Can cause pancreatitis at high triglyceride levels	Fibrate, niacin, statins
Type V (rare)	Endogenous hyper Triglyceridemia	Increased VLDL production and decreased LPL	VLDL and chylomicrons		Niacin, Fibrate

	Table No.2: Common forms of primary hyperlipidemia				
S.No	Disorder	Lipoprotein abnormality	Drug therapy		
1	Familial hypercholesterolemia	↑↑LDL	Lovastatin		
2	Familial defective apolipoprotein B	↑↑LDL	None		
3	Polygenic hypercholesterolemia	↑LDL	Lovastatin		
4	Familial hypercholesterolemia	↑Chylomicrons	Nicotinic acid		
5	Familial lipoprotein lipase deficiency	↑VLDL	Gemfibrozil		
6	Familial combined hyperlipidemia	↑VLDL, ↑LDL, ↑HDL	Nicotinic acid		
7	Familial dysbetalipoproteinemia	↑Chylomicrons, ↑LDL, ↓IDL, ↓HDL	Gemfibrozil		

Table No.3:	Common for	rms of	secondary	hyper	lipidemia	

S.No	Condition	Lipid abnormalities	Lipoprotein abnormalities
1	Diabetes mellitus	↑TG	↑VLDL, ↓HDL
2	Nephritic syndrome	↑Chol	↑LDL
3	Uraemia	↑ TG	↑ VLDL, ↓HDL
4	Hypothyroidism	↑ Chol	↑LDL
5	Obstructive liver disease	↑ Chol	↑LP(a)
6	Alcoholism	↑ TG	↑ VLDL
7	Oral contraceptive	↑ TG	↑ VLDL, ↓HDL
8	β- Adrenergic blocking agents	↑ TG	↑ VLDL, ↓HDL
9	Isotretinoin	↑TG	↑ VLDL

Table No.4: Normal levels for lipid profile

S.No	Lipids	Desirable value (mg/dl)	Borderline (mg/dl)	High risk (mg/dl)
1	Cholesterol	Less than 200	200-239	240
2	Triglycerides	Less than 140	150-199	200-499
3	HDL cholesterol	60	40-50	Less than 40
4	LDL cholesterol	60-130	130-159	160-189
5	Cholesterol/HDL ratio	4.0	5.0	6.0

		Table No.5: Existing hypolipie	demic drugs	
Class	Drugs	Major effect	Dose	Side effects
	Mevastatin	Lower LDL-C concentration	20-40mg/day orally	Depression, anxiety, indigestion
HMG CoA	Lovastatin	Same above	40mg/day orally	Headache, rashes, gastrointestinal symptoms
reductase inhibitor	Pravastatin	Same above	30mg/day orally	Depression, anxiety, alopecia
	Simvastatin	Same above	5-10mg/day orally	Memory loss
	Atorvastatin	Same above	10,20,40,80 /day orally	Sore throat, fever, skin rash, vomiting
	Clofibrate	Lower serum TG concentration	2gm/day orally	Nausea, diarrhoea, arthralgias
	Gemfibrozil	Lower plasma TG concentration by 40-55%	1.2gm/day orally	Abdominal pain, nausea, diarrhoea
	Fenofibrate	Lower plasma LDL-C conc. and rise HDL-C concentration	2-5gm/day orally	Nausea, Constipation, skin rashes
Fibrates	Ciprofibrate	Same as above	5gm/day orally	Constipation, skin rashes
Fibratts	Bezafibrate	Suppresses endogenous cholesterol and TG synthesis	5gm/day orally	Myalgia, diarrhoea, skin rashes
	Simfibrate	Lower cholesterol concentration and TG concentration	1.5gm/day orally	Skin rashes, nausea, myalgia
	Etofibrate	Lower VLDL-C and LDL concentration	900mg/day orally	Flushing
Antioxidant	Probucol	Lower plasma cholesterol by 10- 15%	250-500mg/day orally	Flatulence, eosinophilia, paresthesia
	Nicotinic acid	Lower LDL-C concentration	2-6gm/day orally	Vomiting, dyspepsia
	Neomycin	Same as above	0.5-2gm/day orally	Malabsorption, diarrhoea
	β- sitosterol	Same as above	6gm/day orally	The laxative effect, vomiting
Other lipid- lowering	Dextro thyroxin	Lower plasma LDL-C concentration	1-2gm/day orally	Serious cardiac toxicity
drugs	Aminosalicylic Acid	Same as above	2gm/day orally	Steatorrhea
	Tiadenol	Lower plasma Cholesterol level	1.6gm/day Orally	Nausea
	Sorbinicate	Lower Chol concentration and TG plasma level	800gm/day orally	Malabsorption
Bile acid binding	Cholestyramine	Binds bile acid resulting in Chol catabolism	12-16mg/day	Nausea, indigestion
resins	Colestipol	Lower plasma LDL-C levels	15-30mg/day orally	Nausea, constipation
Cholesterol absorption inhibitor	Ezetimibe	↓elevated TG, LDL-C, Apo B	10mg/day orally	Unusual muscle weakness, tenderness, nausea

#### Table No.5: Existing hypolipidemic drugs

	1	Table N	<u>No.6: S</u>	olubility pa			
S.No		tive term		Parts	of s	solvent required for	or part of solute
1		soluble				Less than 1	
2	~	soluble				From 1 to 10	
3		luble				From 10 to 3	
4		ly soluble				From 30 to 10	
5		y soluble				From 100 to 10	
6		htly soluble				From 1000 to 10	
7		y insoluble				From 10000 to r	
					wit	h PVP K30: HPM	
For	mulation Code	Ratio	A	TC (mg)		PVP K30 (mg)	HPMC E5 (mg)
	F1	1:1:1		200		200	200
	F2	1:2:1		200		400	200
	F3	1:3:1		200		600	200
	F4	1:4:1		200		800	200
	F5	1:2:2		200		400	400
	F6	1:1.5:1.5		200		300	300
			e No.8:	Role of exc	ipie		
S.No		Excipients					al Category
1		Starch	-				nder
2		ocrystalline Cellu					rant, anti-adherent
3		scarmellose sodi					tegrant
4	M	agnesium Steara	te				ricant
5		Talc		1.0 4			dant
			tion tat			atin calcium table	
S.No		gredients		For o	For one tablet (mg)I61.22		For 100 tablets (g)
		lid dispersion Starch					6.122
2						.s	<u>q.s</u> 7.828
3		talline Cellulose				.28	
4		nellose sodium				5	0.6
5 6	Magnes	sium Stearate				3.5	0.3
0	T-1-1-	Talc	1 12 24	£			0.15
C No.						ation test as per I	erence allowed (%)
S.No	Average weig	<mark>ght of tablets (m</mark> ≤80	ig)	Max	mu	<u>±10</u>	crence anowed (%)
2	C	<u>~80</u> 0-250				$\frac{\pm 10}{\pm 7.5}$	
3		>250				<u> </u>	
5			al limit	s for disint	aro	tion test as per IP	
S.No	1 au	Type of table		5 IVI UISIIIR	gra		nit (minutes)
1		Uncoated table					15
2		Coated tablet					60
3	Ī	Enteric coated tablet					60
4	1	Film coated tab					30
5		Effervescent tab					5
6		Soluble table					3
7		Dispersible table					3
,						1	

## Table No.6: Solubility parameters

	Table	No.12: Standa	ard graph of ATC	
S.No	Concentration (µg/	/ml)	ATC	Absorbance (246nm)
1	0			0
2	2			0.224
3	4			0.433
4	6			0.631
5	8			0.855
6	10			1.047
	Table No.13	: Solubility stu	udy in different solv	vents
S.No	Solvent	Amount	of ATC (mg/ml)	Inference
1	Water		0.029 Practically insolut	
2	Phosphate buffer		0.074	Insoluble
3	0.1N HCI		0.008	Practically insoluble
			of Atorvastatin calc	ium
S.No	Wavenumber cm <sup>-1</sup>			Assignment
1	1652.09		Acid car	boxylic $C = O$ stretch
2	2968.55			C = H stretch
3	3255.95-3403.51		Inter molecular	hydrogen bond, O-H stretch
4	Three peak 1436.05-151	0.31		natic C=C stretch
5	1240.27			C-F stretch
6	843.88		Ring Vibration d	ue to para-substituted benzene
7	1436.05	1436.05		C-N stretch
8	1510.31, 3364.93	1510.31, 3364.93		N-H stretch
	Table No.15: F	T-IR data of	Polyvinyl Pyrrolido	ne K30
S.No	Wavenumber CM <sup>-1</sup>			Assignment
1	2956.01		C-H A	Asymmetric stretch
2	1651.12			C=0 Stretch
3	1291.39			C-N Stretch
4	3398.69			0-H Stretch
5	1423.51			CH <sub>2</sub> Bending
	Table No 16: FT-IR	data of Hydr	oxy Propyl Methyl	Cellulose E5
S.No	Wavenumber CM-	1		Assignment
1	1377.22			C-H Bending
2	1152.51		(	C-O Stretching
3	947.08		Sec	condary hydroxy
	Table No.17: F	T-IR data of I	Micro crystalline Co	ellulose
S.No	Wavenumber CM <sup>-1</sup>	1		Assignment
1	1163.11			C-N Bending
2	1635.69			C-O Stretching
3	3339.86			& N-H Stretching
		FT-IR data of	f Croscarmellose So	dium
S.No	Wavenumber -1			Assignment
1	2851.85			C-H Stretch
2	1068.57			C-O Stretch
3	893.07			C-C Stretch
4	1023.31			C-O Stretch

Table No.12:	Standard	oranh	of ATC
1 auto 110.12.	Stanuaru	graph	UAIC

	Table No	.19: FT-IR data	a of Magnesium Stearate	
S.No	Wavenumber CN	<b>/I-1</b>		gnment
1	1113.93		C-CH3	3 Bending
2	1574.93		C-0 S	tretching
3	3 2957.94		Symmetric	C-H Stretching
			-IR data of Talc	
S.No	Wavenumber CN	<b>/I-1</b>		gnment
1	651.96			Stretching
2	1818.93		C-H Bending	
3	2918.40		C-H Bending	
			ata of solid dispersion	
S.No	Wavenumber CN	/I-1	``	gnment
1	1239.31			Stretch
2	1534.42			Stretch
		o.22: Solubility	study of prepared SDs	100
	Formulation		ATC solubility study of p	repared SDs
	<u>F1</u>		0.245	
	F2		0.285	
	F3		0.311	
	F4		0.321	
	F5		0.285	
	F6	bla Na 23. Wa	0.268 ight variation test	
Ta		Weight in Mg		Weight in Mg
	0			
	1 150	0	14	150
,	1 150 2 149			
			14	150
,	2 149		14 15	150 149
	2 149 3 150		14 15 16	150 149 152
	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		14 15 16 17	150 149 152 148
	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		14 15 16 17 18 19 20	150 149 152 148 150
	$\begin{array}{c ccccc} 2 & 149 \\ 3 & 150 \\ 4 & 150 \\ 5 & 149 \\ 6 & 150 \\ 7 & 150 \\ 8 & 148 \\ \end{array}$		14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Total weight	150 149 152 148 150 150 150 149 2995
	$\begin{array}{c ccccc} 2 & 149 \\ 3 & 150 \\ 4 & 150 \\ 5 & 149 \\ 6 & 150 \\ 7 & 150 \\ 8 & 148 \\ 9 & 151 \\ \end{array}$		14         15         16         17         18         19         20         Total weight         Average weight	150 149 152 148 150 150 150 149 2995 149.75
	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		14         15         16         17         18         19         20         Total weight         Average weight         IP limit	$ \begin{array}{r} 150\\ 149\\ 152\\ 148\\ 150\\ 150\\ 149\\ 2995\\ 149.75\\ \pm 7.5\%\\ \end{array} $
	$\begin{array}{c ccccc} 2 & 149 \\ \hline 3 & 150 \\ \hline 4 & 150 \\ \hline 5 & 149 \\ \hline 6 & 150 \\ \hline 7 & 150 \\ \hline 8 & 148 \\ \hline 9 & 151 \\ \hline 10 & 152 \\ \hline 11 & 150 \\ \end{array}$		14151617181920Total weightAverage weightIP limitLower limit	$     \begin{array}{r}       150 \\       149 \\       152 \\       148 \\       150 \\       150 \\       150 \\       149 \\       2995 \\       149.75 \\       \pm 7.5\% \\       138.51 \\     \end{array} $
	$\begin{array}{c cccccc} 2 & & 149 \\ \hline 3 & & 150 \\ \hline 4 & & 150 \\ \hline 5 & & 149 \\ \hline 6 & & 150 \\ \hline 7 & & 150 \\ \hline 7 & & 150 \\ \hline 8 & & 148 \\ \hline 9 & & 151 \\ \hline 10 & & 152 \\ \hline 11 & & 150 \\ \hline 2 & & 150 \\ \hline \end{array}$		14         15         16         17         18         19         20         Total weight         Average weight         IP limit	$ \begin{array}{r} 150\\ 149\\ 152\\ 148\\ 150\\ 150\\ 149\\ 2995\\ 149.75\\ \pm 7.5\%\\ \end{array} $
	$\begin{array}{c ccccc} 2 & 149 \\ \hline 3 & 150 \\ \hline 4 & 150 \\ \hline 5 & 149 \\ \hline 6 & 150 \\ \hline 7 & 150 \\ \hline 8 & 148 \\ \hline 9 & 151 \\ \hline 10 & 152 \\ \hline 11 & 150 \\ \end{array}$		14151617181920Total weightAverage weightIP limitLower limitUpper limit	$     \begin{array}{r}       150 \\       149 \\       152 \\       148 \\       150 \\       150 \\       150 \\       149 \\       2995 \\       149.75 \\       \pm 7.5\% \\       138.51 \\     \end{array} $
	2       149         3       150         4       150         5       149         6       150         7       150         8       148         9       151         10       152         11       150         12       150         13       148		14151617181920Total weightAverage weightIP limitLower limitUpper limitHardness test	$ \begin{array}{r} 150\\ 149\\ 152\\ 148\\ 150\\ 150\\ 149\\ 2995\\ 149.75\\ \pm 7.5\%\\ 138.51\\ 160.98\\ \end{array} $
	$\begin{array}{c cccccc} 2 & & 149 \\ \hline 3 & & 150 \\ \hline 4 & & 150 \\ \hline 5 & & 149 \\ \hline 6 & & 150 \\ \hline 7 & & 150 \\ \hline 7 & & 150 \\ \hline 8 & & 148 \\ \hline 9 & & 151 \\ \hline 10 & & 152 \\ \hline 11 & & 150 \\ \hline 2 & & 150 \\ \hline \end{array}$		14151617181920Total weightAverage weightIP limitLower limitUpper limitHardness testHardness test	$     \begin{array}{r}       150 \\       149 \\       152 \\       148 \\       150 \\       150 \\       150 \\       149 \\       2995 \\       149.75 \\       \pm 7.5\% \\       138.51 \\       160.98 \\     \end{array} $ Kg/cm2
	2       149         3       150         4       150         5       149         6       150         7       150         8       148         9       151         10       152         1       150         13       148		14151617181920Total weightAverage weightIP limitLower limitUpper limitHardness testHardness 5.2	$     \begin{array}{r}       150 \\       149 \\       152 \\       148 \\       150 \\       150 \\       150 \\       149 \\       2995 \\       149.75 \\       \pm 7.5\% \\       138.51 \\       160.98 \\     \end{array} $ $     \begin{array}{r}       Kg/cm2 \\       2     \end{array} $
	2       149         3       150         4       150         5       149         6       150         7       150         8       148         9       151         10       152         11       150         12       150         13       148		14         15         16         17         18         19         20         Total weight         Average weight         IP limit         Lower limit         Upper limit         Hardness test         5.2         5.3	$ \begin{array}{r} 150\\ 149\\ 152\\ 148\\ 150\\ 150\\ 150\\ 149\\ 2995\\ 149.75\\ \pm 7.5\%\\ 138.51\\ 160.98\\ \hline \mathbf{Kg/cm2}\\ 2\\ 3\end{array} $
	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		14         15         16         17         18         19         20         Total weight         Average weight         IP limit         Lower limit         Upper limit         Hardness test         5.2         5.3         4.9	$ \begin{array}{r} 150\\ 149\\ 152\\ 148\\ 150\\ 150\\ 150\\ 149\\ 2995\\ 149.75\\ \pm 7.5\%\\ 138.51\\ 160.98\\ \hline \mathbf{Kg/cm2}\\ 2\\ 3\\ 9 \end{array} $
	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		14         15         16         17         18         19         20         Total weight         Average weight         IP limit         Lower limit         Upper limit         Hardness test         5.2         5.3         4.9         4.0	$ \begin{array}{r} 150\\ 149\\ 152\\ 148\\ 150\\ 148\\ 150\\ 149\\ 2995\\ 149.75\\ \pm 7.5\%\\ 138.51\\ 160.98\\ \hline \mathbf{Kg/cm2}\\ 2\\ 3\\ 9\\ 6\\ \end{array} $
	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		14         15         16         17         18         19         20         Total weight         Average weight         IP limit         Lower limit         Upper limit         Hardness test         5.2         4.9         4.0         4.0	$ \begin{array}{r} 150\\ 149\\ 152\\ 148\\ 150\\ 148\\ 150\\ 149\\ 2995\\ 149.75\\ \pm 7.5\%\\ 138.51\\ 160.98\\ \hline \mathbf{Kg/cm2}\\ 2\\ 3\\ 9\\ 6\\ 7\\ \end{array} $
	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		14         15         16         17         18         19         20         Total weight         Average weight         IP limit         Lower limit         Upper limit         Hardness test         5.2         5.3         4.9         4.0	$ \begin{array}{r} 150\\ 149\\ 149\\ 152\\ 148\\ 150\\ 149\\ 2995\\ 149.75\\ \pm 7.5\%\\ 138.51\\ 160.98\\ \hline {\bf Kg/cm2}\\ 2\\ 3\\ 9\\ 6\\ 7\\ 9\\ \end{array} $

Priya K. et al. / Asian Journal of Research in Biological and Pharmaceutical Sciences. 12(2), 2024, 19-40.

1 abit 1 (0,23	Table 110.25. Disintegration Test		
Tablet	<b>Disintegration Time (Minutes)</b>		
1	10		
2	12		
3	9		
4	8		
5	7		
6	7		
IP LIMIT	For uncoated tablet within 15 minutes		

#### Table No.25: Disintegration Test

Table No.26: *In vitro* drug release of atorvastatin calcium from SD, Solid dispersion tablet and Marketed Product

Time (Mins)	Percentage drug release (%)			
	ATC Solid dispersion (F4)	ATC Solid dispersion tablet	Marketed Product	
10	46.9	38.4	33.8	
20	95.2	69.5	63.6	
30	99.3	82.1	78.4	
40	99.4	97.3	90.1	
50	99	99	99	
60	99	99	99	



#### Figure No.1: Classification of hyperlipidemia





Priya K. et al. / Asian Journal of Research in Biological and Pharmaceutical Sciences. 12(2), 2024, 19-40.



Figure No.5: Preparation of granules

Priya K. et al. / Asian Journal of Research in Biological and Pharmaceutical Sciences. 12(2), 2024, 19-40.



**Figure No.6: Tablet punching machine** 



Figure No.7: Melting point detector



Figure No.8: λ max Atorvastatin Calcium



 Figure No.9: Standard graph of ATC

 Available online: www.uptodateresearchpublication.com
 April – June

Priya K. et al. / Asian Journal of Research in Biological and Pharmaceutical Sciences. 12(2), 2024, 19-40.



Figure No.13: FT-IR spectrum of Microcrystalline Cellulose

Priya K. et al. / Asian Journal of Research in Biological and Pharmaceutical Sciences. 12(2), 2024, 19-40.



Figure No.17: FT-IR spectrum of solid dispersion (ATC: PVP K30: HPMC E5)

Priya K. et al. / Asian Journal of Research in Biological and Pharmaceutical Sciences. 12(2), 2024, 19-40.



Figure No.18: Disintegration apparatus



Figure No.19: Labtronics dissolution apparatus



Figure No.20: In vitro drug release of atorvastatin from SD, solid dispersion tablet and marketed product

#### CONCLUSION

The present research work aimed to enhance the solubility of calcium by Atorvastatin solid dispersion. Solid dispersions were prepared by solvent evaporation method. Carriers such as PVP K30 and HPMC ES were used to prepare solid dispersion to improve the wettability of the particle and to reduce the interfacial tension between the drug particles. Various formulations were prepared by varying the concentration of carrier such as PVP K30: HPMC E5 (1:1, 2:1, 3:1. 4:1. 2:2. 1.5:1.5) to obtain an optimized formulation with suitable physical appearance. The prepared solid dispersions were subjected to solubility study, to select the best formulation.

The result showed that the formulation F4 containing 1:4:1 [ATC: PVP K30: HPMC ES) shows 12-fold increase in solubility when compared to pure drug. The optimized solid dispersion was formulated into tablet by wet granulation method. Compressed tablet was subjected to quality control test and it meets IP specifications. The *in vitro* dissolution study was performed using Phosphate buffer PH 6.8 dissolution media and it was compared with marketed product Lipvas®. The *in vitro* drug release study of tablet containing solid dispersion shows that 97.1% of ATC was released at 40minutes which was higher when compared to marketed product (90.3% ATC).

Overall results obtained during these work shows that solid dispersion could improve the dissolution rate of ATC, when using optimized carriers. It could be considered as a better choice for the treatment of hypercholesterolemia when converted into a suitable dosage form.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors wish to express their sincere gratitude to Department of Pharmaceutics, Sri Ramakrishna Institute of Paramedical Sciences, College of Pharmacy, Coimbatore -641044, Tamil Nadu, India for providing the necessary facilities to carry out this research work.

#### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

We declare that we have no conflict of interest.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. Alfred N M, Patrick J Sinko. Martins physical pharmacy and pharmaceutical science: Physical chemical and bio pharmaceutical principles in the pharmaceutical science, *(Lippincott Williams and Wilkins)*, 6<sup>th</sup> Edition, 2006, 795.
- 2. Anisul Q, Karl K. A comparative study of current Super disintegrants, *Pharmaceutical Technology*, 2006(5), 2006, 1-10.
- 3. Ankush C, Avtar C, Rana G A. Development and characterization of an atorvastatin solid dispersion formulation using skimmed milk for improved oral bioavailability, *Act Pharmaceutica Sinica B*, 2(4), 2012, 421-428.
- 4. Argade P S. Solid dispersion: solubility enhanced technique for poorly water soluble drugs, *J Adv Pharm Res*, 3(4), 2013, 427-439.
- 5. Arunkumar N. Preparation and solid state characterizatrion of atorvastatin nanosuspension for enhanced solubility and dissolution, *Int J Pharm Sci Res*, 1(4), 2009, 1725-1730.
- 6. Aveen N A. Most commonly natural and synthetic antihyperlipidemic agents available and distracted in erbilcity Zanco, *J Med Sci*, 19(3), 2015, 1043-1050.
- 7. Azin J, Mohammad B. Evaluation of physiochemical properties and *in vivo* efficiency of atorvastain calcium/ezetimibe solid dispersion, *Eur J Ph Sci*, 2, 2015, 21-30.
- Baishya H. Chidambaram A, Haitho A Z. Optimization of various process parameters for formulation of model anti-hyperlipidemic drugs by using dry granulation method, *Int J Pharm Sci Res*, 7(10), 2016, 3959-3970.
- 9. Banke G S, Anderson N S. The theory and practice of industrial pharmacy, *Varghese Publishing House, Mumbai*, 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition, 1987, 314-324.
- Bhaskar R. Reveiw: Solid dispersion technique for enhancement of solubility of poorly soluble drug, *Int J Pharm Pharm Res*, 6(2), 2018, 43-52.
- 11. Brouwers M C, Stehouwer C D, Graaf J, Stalenhoef A F. The genetics of familial combined hyperlipidaemia, *Nat Rev Endocrinol*, 8(6), 2012, 352-362.

Available online: www.uptodateresearchpublication.com

- 12. Deepak S, Mankaran S. Dinesh K, Gurmeet S. Formulation development and evaluation of fast disintegrating tablet of cetirizine hydrochloride: A novel drug delivery for pediatrics and geriatries, *Int J Pharm*, 1, 2014, 1-9.
- 13. Dharna A, Neclam S, Sukhbir S. Solid dispersion: A review on drug delivery system and solubility enhancement, *Indian J Plast Surg*, 4(6), 2013, 2094-2095.
- 14. Firas A. Thiery V, Gabriele B. Focused beam reflectance method as an innovative (PAT) tool to monitor in-line granulation process in fluidized bed, *Pharm Dev Technol*, 18(1), 2010, 73-84.
- 15. Furquan N K. Enhanced bioavailability and dissolution of atorvastatin calcium from floating microcapsules using minimum additives, *Scientia Pharmaceutica*, 80(1), 2012, 215-228.
- Furquan N K. Enhanced bioavailability of atorvastain calcium from stabilized gastric resident formulation, *AAPS Pharm Sci Tech*, 12(4), 2011, 1077-1086.
- 17. Ginghina C, Bejan I, Ceck C D. Modern risk stratification in coronary heart disease, *J Med Life*, 4(4), 2011, 377-386.
- Ikram K, Kapil P. Solid dispersion: Solubility enhancement technique of poorly watersoluble drug, *J Drug Deliv Ther*, 10(1), 2020, 173-177.
- Jacobson T A, Ito M K, Maki K C, Orringer C E, Bays H E, Jones P H *et al.* National lipid association recommendations for patientcentered management of dyslipidemia: Part 1--full report, *J Clin Lipidol*, 9(2), 2015, 129-134.
- Jeyabalan S, Palayan M. Antihyperlipidemic activity of Sapindus emarginatus in Triton WR-1339 induced albino rats, *Res J Pharm Tech*, 2(2), 2009, 319-323.
- 21. Jorgensen T, Capewell S, Prescott E, Allender S, Sans S, Zdrojewski 1. Population-level changes to promote cardiovascular health, *Eur J Prev. Cardiol*, 20(3), 2013, 409-421.
- 22. Khalid B M, Nisar A K. Solid dispersion: Overview of the technology, *Int Pharm Sci. Res*, 8(6), 2017, 2378-2387.

Available online: www.uptodateresearchpublication.com

- 23. Kumar S. Garg S K, Aseri A. Design, optimization and *in vitro* evaluation of Atorvastatin fast dissolving tablets by using solid dispersion technique, *World J Pharm Pharm Sci*, 4(03), 2014, 1217-1239.
- 24. Laksmi N. Enhanced dissolution rate of atorvastatin calcium usine e1t1, Dispersion with PEG 6000 by dropping method, *Int J Pharm Sci Res*, 2(8), 2010, 484-491.
- 25. Lehman H A, Liberman L J. Theory and practice of industrial pharmacy, *Vargese Publication House, Mumbai,* 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition, 1990, 293-294, 329-335.
- 26. Liu J. Zhang E, McGinity J W. Properties of lipophilic matrix tablets containing phenylpropanolamine hydrochloride prepared by hot-melt extrusion, *Eur J Pharm Biopharm*, 52(2), 2001, 181-190.
- 27. Mishra P R, Panda P K, Apanna K C, Panigrahi S. Evaluation of acute hypolipidemic activity of different plant extracts in Triton WR-1339 induced hyperlipidemia in albino rats, *Pharmacology Online*, 3, 2011, 925-934.
- 28. Muhammad A, Naqvi B S, Gauhar S. Development of coprocessed micro granules for direct compression, *Int J Pharm Pharm Res*, 3(2), 2011, 64-69.
- 29. Ofori-Kwakye O, Kwabena O, Osei-Yeboah. Formulation and quality evaluation of two conventional release tablet formulations, *Int J Pharm Sci Rev Res*, 4(1), 2010, 94-99.
- 30. Pahuja S, Sharma N, Sarup P. Formulation and evaluation of fixed dose combination of atorvastatin calcium and amlodipine besylate immediate release film coated tablets, *Int J Pharm Sci and Res*, 11(6), 2020, 2937-2947.
- 31. Palanisamy B, Jayakar R, Margret Chandiral B S, Venkateshwarlul A. Formulation, evaluation and development of immediate release film coated tablets of atorvastatin and sustained release film coated tablets of ezetimibe in capsules form USP, *Int J Med Pharm*, 1(1), 2013, 33-58.
- 32. Parrot E L. Compression in pharmaceutical dosage form, tablets, *Marcel Dekker Inc, New York*, 2, 1990, 153-182.

- Sachin V, Krishnaprasad M, Manjunath S Y, Subal D. Formulation and stabilization of Atorvastatin tablets, *J Chem Pharm Res*, 2(5), 2010, 548-554.
- Sandip R P. Solubility enhancement (solid dispersions) novel boon to increase bioavailability, *J Drug Deliv Ther*, 9(2), 2019, 583-590.
- 35. Sanjay D, Sankha C, Bhaskar M. Formulation and evaluation of fixed-dose combination of bilayer gastroretentive matrix tablet containing atorvastatin as fast-release and atenolol as sustained-release, *Biomed Res Int*, 2014, 1-11.
- 36. Sarw G. Das A, Kibria M G, Karmakar P, Sattar M M. *In vitro* quality analysis of different brands of alprazolam tablet available in Bangladesh, *Bangladesh J Pharmacol*, 16(2), 2013, 185-188.
- 37. Shamsuddin F M, Ansari S H, Ali J. Atorvastatin solid dispersion for bioavailability enhancement, J Ady Pharm Technol Res, 7(1), 2016, 22-26.
- 38. Shattat G F. Hyperlipidemia: Types, treatments and new drug targets, *Biomed Pharmacol J*, 7(2), 2014, 12-26.
- 39. Shyamala B, Narmada G Y. Rapid dissolving tablets: A novel dosage form, *The Indian Pharmacis*, 13, 2002, 9-12.
- 40. Swati S. Improved in solubility of poor watersoluble drugs by solid dispersion, *J Pharm Innov*, 2(1), 2012, 12-17.
- 41. Tagalpallewar V R. Enhancement of solubility of poorly water-soluble drug by solid dispersion technique, *J Sci Eng Res*, 6(2), 2017, 352-361.
- 42. Ultan A, Syed S I, Afzal H. Mohammad A. Potential of solid dispersions to enhance solubility, bioavailability and therapeutic efficacy of poorly water soluble drugs: Newer formulation techniques, current marketed scenario and patents, *Drug Deliv*, 27(1), 2020, 1625-1643.

- 43. Waterman K C, Adami R C. Accelarated aging: Prediction of chemical, *Int J Pharm Pharmn Res*, 293(1-2), 2018, 101-125.
- 44. Wenxiang D, Xitong S, Meng X, Mingming H, Yinghua Su, Peng Z. Preparation, characterization and *in vitro/vivo* evaluation of polymer assisting formulation of atorvastatin calcium based on solid dispersion technique, *Asian J Biomed Pharm Sci*, 13(6), 2018, 546-554.
- 45. Xingwang Z. Pharmaceutical dispersion techniques for dissolution and bioavailability enhancement of poorly water-soluble drugs, *Pharmaceutics*, 10(74), 2018, 1-33.

**Please cite this article in press as:** Priya K *et al.* Improving the bioavailability of atorvastatin calcium: formulating tablets from solid dispersions with PVP K30 and HPMC K4M, *Asian Journal of Research in Biological and Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 12(2), 2024, 19-40.